PEARSON, J.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

CHARLES R. CROSBY,	)
Petitioner,	) CASE NO. 1:11cv1557
V.	) ) JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
TERRY A. TIBBALS,	) ) MEMORANDUM OF ORINION AND
Respondent.	) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND ORDER [Regarding ECF No. 19]

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Vernelis K.

Armstrong (ECF No. 19) recommending the Court deny the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1).

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Failure to object within this time waives a party's right to appeal the district court's judgment. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981). Absent objection, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge's report without review. *See Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 149.

In the instant case, Objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by July 23, 2012. On July 23, Petitioner filed a motion for an extension of time to file an Objection. <u>ECF</u>

No. 20. This motion was granted on July 30, and Petitioner was given an additional 30 days to file Objections to the Report and Recommendation. Petitioner has not filed an Objection. The

Case: 1:11-cv-01557-BYP Doc #: 21 Filed: 09/19/12 2 of 2. PageID #: 1015

(1:11cv1557)

Court finds that the Report and Recommendation is supported by the record, and agrees with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation.

Accordingly, the Court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. <u>ECF No. 19</u>. The Court certifies, pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3)</u>, that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. <u>28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)</u>; <u>Fed. R. App. P. 22(b)</u>.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

September 19, 2012	/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Date	Benita Y. Pearson
	United States District Judge